

The Dutch HWF planning methode - overview

1. Planning on professions with regulated education inflow

 ACMMP, resulting in an advice to government and the "health field" on the amount of appropriate inflow in the corresponding professional training programs

2. Forecasting of professions without regulated education inflow

- Research program
- Not resulting in an action plan for every individual stakeholder (own responsibility)
- when necessary resulting in an governmental policy on the labour market (financial support)



1. How the planning system is organized

- ACMMP monitors, advizes government and health field on range for annual intakes in training programs/ medical schools;
- Government takes a decision on the financing of a certain number of medical students/ medical graduates;
- Health field distributes the intake across all participants and gets the funding;

Strenghts: advice ACMMP is agreed upon by the healthfield, no "surprises" from the government, which stays in control, advice is timely, everybody has own set of responsibilities.

Weaknesses: ACMMP is monopolist, patients have no say, financing creates only a maximum; distribution can go wrong



2. Which goals are set and which time frame?

- The goal is to create an equilibrium between the need for care and the supply of care;
- The time frame is each time 12 to 18 years from now.

Strenghts: There is no argument about the advice of the ACMMP; everybody understands that the ACMMP cannot react swiftly; the farmers cycle has disappeared

Weaknesses: The introduction of new laws, new professions, new habits, and vertical substitution have a massive impact on the needs if you use these vast time frames



3. Connection planning system with actions

- ACMMP monitors, advizes government and health field on range for annual intakes in training programs/ medical schools;
- Government takes a decision on the financing of a certain number of medical students/ medical graduates;
- Health field distributes the intake across all participants and gets the funding after filling in the places;
- ACMMP monitors the effects and adjusts next advice.

Strenghts: system acts as an pdca cycle

Weaknesses: system only works as long as everybody complies



4. Which data is really used in the planning

- Needs: demography, epidemiology, sociocultural, policies, needs not fulfilled;
- Supply: fte professionals by occupation and gender, attrition, immigration, changes in working hours;
- Working process: Substitution, efficiency, professional changes;

Strenghts: The parameters are checked by professionals; forecasts are also checked by professionals; repeating forecasts continuously makes them better; policies are included, not only form government but also from other stakeholders

Weaknesses: Danger of relying to much on present trends



5. Type of forecasting model

• Simple straight out model in Excel sheet

Strenghts: The model can be distributed to other parties; effects of changes of parameters can be demonstrated; model has been evaluated.

Weaknesses: Model is not consumer friendly.



2. Forecasting of professions without regulated education inflow

Concerning general nurses, assistant-nurses, care-takers, social workers and home helpers.

Monitoring (quantitative input)

+

Forecasting (qualitative input by using different scenario's)

Improvements: Demand site mainly (governmental) budget driven Forecasting only per sector not per profession